

110TH CONGRESS
1ST SESSION

H. CON. RES. 184

Expressing the sense of the Congress opposing removal of dams on the Columbia and Snake Rivers for fishery restoration purposes, supporting the renewable energy that the dams produce, and agreeing that their removal does not make sound environmental nor fiscal sense.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JULY 12, 2007

Mr. SALI submitted the following concurrent resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Natural Resources, and in addition to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned

CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

Expressing the sense of the Congress opposing removal of dams on the Columbia and Snake Rivers for fishery restoration purposes, supporting the renewable energy that the dams produce, and agreeing that their removal does not make sound environmental nor fiscal sense.

Whereas the dams on the Columbia and Snake River system provide tremendous economic and environmental benefits to the Pacific Northwest and California that should be retained;

Whereas the dams on the Columbia and Snake River system provide renewable power to the Pacific Northwest and California and do not contribute “greenhouse” gases to

the environment as their replacement power sources would;

Whereas plans for the recovery of federally protected fish species in the Columbia and Snake River System should only rely on relevant and scientifically verifiable causes such as predation of fish stocks, conditions of the ocean, hatchery and mitigation technology, passage around and through hydroelectric projects and ocean harvest levels and only after these options have been exhausted should removal of the dams as a means of “recovery” even be considered an option;

Whereas the environmental impact of removing the dams on the Columbia and Snake river system would be devastating to salmon and steelhead stocks and would also negatively impact the surrounding communities which are strong supporters and advocates of improving fish stocks on the Columbia and Snake River System; and

Whereas any comprehensive fish recovery plan for the Columbia and Snake River system must be based on sound science and proven data, taking into consideration the economic and social costs associated with changes to the management and use of the river infrastructure, focusing on new options and not wasting funding on politically sensitive options, such as dam breaching, that has historically been proven infeasible with an extensive amount of Federal taxpayers money and studies: Now, therefore, be it

1 *Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate*
2 *concurring),*

1 **SEC. 1. SHORT TITLE.**

2 This resolution may be cited as the “Preserve our
3 Salmon and Renewable Energy Resolution”.

4 **SEC. 2. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS WITH RESPECT TO COM-**
5 **MERCE IN THE PACIFIC NORTHWEST.**

6 It is the sense of Congress that the dams on the Co-
7 lumbia and Snake Rivers are essential to commerce in the
8 Pacific Northwest for the following reasons:

9 (1) The Columbia and Snake River system, located
10 in the States of Oregon, Washington, and Idaho, is an
11 essential transportation link for the United States, used
12 to export all types of products, including annual transport
13 of over \$2 billion of agricultural goods for export overseas.

14 (2) This inland system for barges supports \$15 bil-
15 lion annually in international trade.

16 (3) Breaching these dams would eliminate the ability
17 to barge goods and would seriously affect the region’s
18 economy.

19 (4) Replacing the power currently generated by the
20 dams on the Columbia and Snake Rivers would result in
21 significant increases in costs to consumers in the Pacific
22 Northwest and California and would cause significant
23 harm to the economy of those areas.

24 (5) Producers who ship their products on the Colum-
25 bia and Snake River system save approximately
26 \$38,000,000 per year by avoiding more expensive land

1 based transportation, a savings which keeps United States
2 exports competitive on world markets.

3 (6) The Columbia and Snake River system allows
4 large volumes of freight to be moved with negligible impact
5 on air quality, and replaces transportation capacity that
6 would require use of 120,000 rail cars or 700,000 trucks.

7 **SEC. 3. SENSE OF CONGRESS WITH RESPECT TO SURVIV-**
8 **ABILITY RATE OF SALMON AND STEELHEAD.**

9 It is the sense of Congress that the survivability rate
10 of salmon and steelhead has increased since the dams have
11 been in place for the following reasons:

12 (1) Recent studies by the National Marine Fisheries
13 Service indicate that the survival rates of salmon and
14 steelhead migrating down the Columbia and Snake River
15 system (with 8 dams) are similar or greater than survival
16 rates measured in 1961 when there were only 4 dams on
17 the system.

18 (2) Improved fish hatchery processes, including fish
19 acclimation processes, have resulted in the first successful
20 run of coho salmon on the Yakima River in 3 decades.

21 (3) Based on current Corps of Engineers research,
22 survival of adult salmon and steelhead at and between hy-
23 droelectric dams on the Columbia and Snake rivers is
24 averaging 98 percent per dam and reservoir.

1 (4) According to the Army Corps of Engineers the
2 survival rate per dam on the Columbia and Snake River
3 is averaging 91 to 98 percent for juvenile fish passage.

4 (5) The survival rate on the Columbia and Snake
5 River is between 98 and 99 percent for adult passage.

6 **SEC. 4. SENSE OF CONGRESS THAT BREACHING THE DAMS**
7 **WILL NOT GUARANTEE RECOVERY OF SALM-**
8 **ON AND STEELHEAD.**

9 It is the sense of Congress that breaching the dams
10 will not guarantee recovery of salmon and steelhead for
11 the following reasons:

12 (1) The Bonneville Power Administration has deter-
13 mined that the cost to replace the power and capacity ben-
14 efits of the Lower Snake River dams is between
15 \$400,000,000 to \$550,000,000 per year.

16 (2) The Independent Economic Analysis Board
17 (IEAB) issued analysis of the recent "Revenue Stream"
18 report in February 2007 and determined: "We note that
19 if the Corps replacement power costs (which the IEAB
20 considers to be the more credible numbers) were inserted
21 into the Revenue Stream report in place of the power costs
22 estimated by Save Our Wild Salmon (SOS)—this change
23 alone would be enough to reverse their results. It would
24 be cheaper for the region to keep the dams".

1 (3) Salmon runs between 2001 and 2004 were some
2 of the highest in history, with the dams in place.

3 (4) Salmon returns in 2000 were about 400,000, and
4 in 2004 returns were more than double that amount at
5 846,000.

6 (5) Historically and currently 90 to 95 percent of the
7 juvenile salmon that reach the ocean die in the ocean eaten
8 by predators or failing to find food.

9 (6) The conditions in the ocean that the juvenile fish
10 encounter have a huge effect on their success.

11 (7) Conditions can be right in fresh water, sending
12 healthy juvenile fish down the river and assuring their safe
13 passage, but, if ocean conditions are poor, the returns are
14 poor, but if conditions are right in fresh water and ocean
15 conditions are good, returns will improve.

16 (8) Some of the best returns ever counted at Bonne-
17 ville Dam, which began counting fish in 1938, were re-
18 corded in the past few years.

19 **SEC. 5. SENSE OF CONGRESS WITH RESPECT TO THE ENVI-**
20 **RONMENTAL IMPACT OF REMOVING THE**
21 **DAMS.**

22 It is the sense of Congress that the environmental
23 impact of removing the dams will be extremely damaging
24 for the following reasons:

1 (1) Breaching the four Lower Snake River Dams
2 would allow the annual sediment load of 3,000,000 to
3 4,000,000 cubic yards to be carried downstream to Lake
4 Wallula, where the majority of incoming sediment would
5 likely be deposited.

6 (2) The Corps of Engineers Lower Snake EIS/Feasi-
7 bility Study indicated breaching would result in the release
8 of 75,000,000 cubic yards of silt, expose fish to increased
9 toxins and higher turbidity levels and have an effect on
10 fish.

11 (3) The Corps of Engineers estimates that the flood
12 control provided by the dams on the Columbia and Snake
13 River systems prevented \$4,600,000,000 in damages from
14 potential floods in 1996 and 1997.

15 (4) Water collected in the Columbia and Snake River
16 system irrigates half the productive farmland in Oregon,
17 Washington, and Idaho.

18 (5) If the dams were breached the area would incur
19 a yearly net loss of \$13,900,000 to \$16,900,000 of agri-
20 culture goods.

21 **SEC. 6. SENSE OF CONGRESS WITH RESPECT TO RENEW-**
22 **ABLE FREE ENERGY, GLOBAL WARMING DE-**
23 **BATE, AND BACKUP ENERGY TO CALIFORNIA.**

24 It is the sense of Congress that the dams on the Co-
25 lumbia and Snake rivers provide renewable pollution free

1 energy to the Pacific Northwest and also helps backup
2 California's power grid for the following reasons:

3 (1) The Pacific Northwest is uniquely positioned be-
4 cause more than 60 percent of its electricity is hydro-
5 electric power generated by dams on the Columbia and
6 Snake River System and generation of that electricity does
7 not create any air or water pollution and is vital for cre-
8 ating and maintaining jobs.

9 (2) Replacing the dams would require building or
10 buying energy generated from coal or natural gas plants,
11 which are far more expensive and produce "green house
12 gases" which some feel contribute to global warming.

13 (3) When California reaches its peak power needs
14 (primarily in the summer), the Pacific Northwest is, his-
15 torically, in a time of lower power demand (its higher de-
16 mand is in the winter) and the Federal hydropower system
17 is positioned to provide California with the excess power
18 from the Pacific Northwest, so taking out the hydropower
19 dams on the Columbia and Snake rivers could have a det-
20 rimental effect on Southern California's supply of elec-
21 tricity.

1 **SEC. 7. SENSE OF CONGRESS WITH RESPECT TO PREVIOUS**
2 **CONCLUSIVE AND EXHAUSTIVE STUDIES ON**
3 **DAM REMOVAL.**

4 It is the sense of Congress that two conclusive and
5 exhaustive studies have already been completed which con-
6 sidered removing the dams or reservoir drawdown on the
7 Snake River and both came to the same conclusion that
8 we need to keep the dams for the following reasons:

9 (1) In 2002, during the Bush Administration, the
10 Corps of Engineers Lower Snake River Juvenile Salmon
11 Migration Feasibility Study was completed at a cost of
12 \$28,000,000 to the American taxpayers which rec-
13 ommended that the dams not be breached.

14 (2) In 1995, during the Clinton Administration the
15 Corps of Engineers completed the Columbia River Sys-
16 tems Operation Review at a cost in excess of \$20,000,000
17 to the American taxpayers which concluded that the dams
18 should not be breached.

19 (3) The review during the Clinton Administration
20 studied major reservoir drawdowns on the lower Snake
21 River, the study report did not recommend these
22 drawdowns.

23 (4) Since 1992, under two different administrations
24 from two different political parties, at a cost of over
25 \$50,000,000 to the American taxpayer, there have been
26 at least 5 studies that have investigated dam removal and

- 1 reservoir drawdown on the lower Snake River and none
- 2 recommended the implementation of dam breaching or
- 3 reservoir drawdowns.

○